A Highly Active Zinc Catalyst for the Controlled Polymerization of Lactide
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Abstract: We report the preparation, structural characterization, and detailed lactide polymerization behavior of a new Zn(II) alkoxide complex, (L1ZnOEt)2 (L1 = 2,4-di-tert-butyl-6-[(2‘-dimethylaminomethyl)methyl]phenolate). While an X-ray crystal structure revealed the complex to be dimeric in the solid state, nuclear magnetic resonance and mass spectrometric analyses showed that the monomeric form L1ZnOEt predominates in solution. The polymerization of lactide using this complex proceeded with good molecular weight control and gave relatively narrow molecular weight distribution polylactide, even at catalyst loadings of <0.1% that yielded Mn as high as 130 kg mol⁻¹. The effect of impurities on the molecular weight of the product polymers was accounted for using a simple model. Detailed kinetic studies of the polymerization reaction enabled integral and nonintegral orders in L1ZnOEt to be distinguished and the empirical rate law to be elucidated, −d[LA]/dt = k[L1ZnOEt][LA]. These studies also showed that L1ZnOEt polymerizes lactide at a rate faster than any other Zn-containing system reported previously. This work provides important mechanistic information pertaining to the polymerization of lactide and other cyclic esters by discrete metal alkoxide complexes.

Introduction

The metal catalyzed ring-opening polymerization of lactide (LA), a renewable resource, is an efficient way to produce a biodegradable and recyclable plastic, polylactide (PLA).† The commercial production of PLA for applications in medicine, packaging, and fiber technology relies on this important catalytic transformation.‡ Diverse metal alkoxide complexes exhibit varying degrees of effectiveness for the polymerization of LA (and related cyclic esters), as reflected by differing rates and levels of polymerization control and stereoselectivity. Three Notably lacking is fundamental mechanistic knowledge to help understand these differences and facilitate next-generation catalyst design. Thus, we aim to develop, structurally characterize, and carefully delineate the mechanistic behavior of new, discrete metal-alkoxide LA polymerization catalysts comprising non-toxic, inexpensive metals such as zinc or iron. In the case of Zn(II), simple salts suffer from low reactivity, limited solubility, and solution aggregation phenomena that complicate mechanistic analysis (e.g., non first-order kinetic dependencies on catalyst concentration).⁶,⁷ Alternatively, mononuclear “single-site” Zn(II) alkoxides supported by tris(pyrazolyl)hydroborate or β-diketiminate ligands, for example, have been shown to be quite active, controlled, and, in some cases,¹¹ stereoselective LA polymerization catalysts.

In a new strategy inspired by the cooperativity of dizinc sites in hydrolytic enzymes,¹³ we recently reported the LA polymerization behavior for a dizinc complex of a dinucleating ligand HL. For the purpose of comparison, we chose to examine a Zn(II) alkoxide supported by a closely related mononucleating
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Figure 1. Representation of the X-ray crystal structure of 1a, with selected nonhydrogen atoms labeled and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Zn1–O1, 1.956(2); Zn1–C21, 1.997(4); Zn1–N2, 2.128(3); Zn1–N1, 2.147(3); O1–Zn1–C21, 128.7(7); O1–Zn1–N2, 99.76(12); C21–Zn1–N2, 121.23(15); O1–Zn1–N1, 93.32(12); C21–Zn1–N1, 117.82(16); N2–Zn1–N1, 84.85(13).

Table 1. Summary of X-ray Crystallography Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>2a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>empirical formula</td>
<td>C20H14N2O2Zn</td>
<td>C20H14N2O2Zn2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formula weight</td>
<td>397.6</td>
<td>420.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>space group</td>
<td>P2_1/c</td>
<td>Pbcn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>11.9224(16)</td>
<td>11.9224(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>12.0853(16)</td>
<td>12.0853(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>16.687(2)</td>
<td>16.687(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ß(deg)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ß(deg)</td>
<td>90.0(1)</td>
<td>90.0(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density (calcd) (g cm(^{-3}))</td>
<td>1.176</td>
<td>1.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crystal color and morphology</td>
<td>colorless, plate</td>
<td>colorless, plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crystal size (mm(^3))</td>
<td>0.22 × 0.19 × 0.08</td>
<td>0.20 × 0.20 × 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>absorption coefficient (mm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2θ(max) (deg)</td>
<td>50.06</td>
<td>50.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of refls collected</td>
<td>16067</td>
<td>30924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of ind refls</td>
<td>4121</td>
<td>9979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of obsd refls (I &gt; 2\sigma(I))</td>
<td>2894</td>
<td>6142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parameters</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 (% [I &gt; 2\sigma(I)])</td>
<td>0.0467</td>
<td>0.0514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR2</td>
<td>0.1233</td>
<td>0.1318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goodness-of-fit</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>largest diff peak, hole (e Å(^{-3}))</td>
<td>-0.472</td>
<td>0.461, -0.458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The X-ray crystal structure of 2a shows that in the solid state the complex exists as a dimer bridged by two ethoxides, the internuclear separation being 3.0835(6) Å. Each zinc atom is pentacoordinate with a distorted square pyramidal geometry. The bridging oxygen atoms are asymmetrically placed between the two zinc atoms (e.g., O3 is 0.046 Å closer to Zn1 than to Zn2), and was also found for the dizinc complex of HL.\(^4\)

The \(^1^H\) NMR spectra of 1a, 1b, 2a, and 2b in CDCl\(_3\) contain single sets of sharp peaks at ambient temperature that are consistent with structures in solution that are monomeric, dimeric, or rapidly equilibrating mixtures. A representative spectrum of 2a is shown in Figure S1 (Supporting Information). The features in this spectrum broaden and become more complex at low temperatures, indicating that a fluxional process(es) is(are) operative. This process(es) could not be slowed sufficiently for study, even at -90 °C, so we have been unable to discern by simple variable temperature NMR spectral analysis whether intramolecular rearrangements or monomer/dimer equilibration (or both) are involved. Because the nuclearity of

(15) The polymerization activity of this complex was not explored.
(18) r = 0.43 for Zn(1), with O(1) axial, and r = 0.40 for Zn(2), with O(2) axial. See: Addison, A. W.; Rao, T. N.; Reedijk, J.; van Rijn, J.; Verschoor, G. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1349.
2a in solution is of particular importance for understanding its catalytic behavior (see below), we performed additional experiments aimed specifically at determining whether 2a, which by X-ray crystallography is dimeric with five-coordinate Zn(II) centers in the solid state, remains dimeric or cleaves into four-coordinate monomers in solution.

First, we performed crossover experiments whereby 2a and 2b were mixed in CH₂Cl₂ or CD₂Cl₂. Analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of mixtures with 2:1, 1:1, and 1:2 molar ratios of 2a and 2b in CD₂Cl₂ showed peaks identical to those of the independent complexes, with relative intensities that corresponded to their molar ratios. Although these data are consistent with 2a and 2b existing as monomers, as dimers or as either or both in rapid equilibrium, the absence of additional resonances rules out the exclusive formation of a heterodimeric species such as [(L₂)₂Zn₂(OH)]⁺. The bond distances (Å): Zn₁-O₄, 2.041(3); Zn₁-O₃, 2.009(2); Zn₁-N₁, 2.144(3); Zn₁-N₂, 2.268(3); Zn₂-O₂, 1.942(2); Zn₂-O₃, 2.001(2); Zn₂-O₄, 2.041(3); Zn₂-N₃, 2.233(3); Zn₂-N₄, 2.266(3).

To assess the possible equilibration between monomeric and dimeric structures, the solvent was removed from CH₂Cl₂ solutions of 2a, 2b, each exhibited a peak envelope corresponding to the respective dimeric ion [(L₁)₂Zn₂(OH)]⁺ and [(L₂)₂Zn₂(OH)]⁺ (Figure 3a and b), corroborated by spectral simulation (Figure 3d). Laser ablation of the solid resulting from removal of CH₂Cl₂ from a 1:1 solution mixture of 2a and 2b after 30 min of equilibration time yielded the mass spectrum in Figure 3c. In addition to the same peaks as those seen for the separate samples of 2a and 2b, a new peak envelope appears at m/z ≈ 745 that corresponds to [L₁Zn(OH)ZnL₂]⁺. The approximate 1:2:1 intensity ratio of the three signals corresponding to the homo- and heterodimeric ions is consistent with the generation of monomers in solution that statistically dimerize in the solid state.

The question of whether 2a exists primarily in solution as a monomer or dimer was also addressed through pulsed gradient spin–echo (PGSE) NMR measurements. The PGSE method assesses translational motion of the analyte in solution through determination of the diffusion coefficient, a quantity inversely related to its effective hydrodynamic volume. The method has been used successfully for characterizing various organometallic systems, including ones involved in monomer/dimer equilibria. In addition to performing PGSE experiments on CD₂Cl₂ solutions of 2a, we examined two reference compounds. These are 1a, which is similar in size to the monomeric form of 2a, and a larger Al complex [L₁Al(OiPr)] (3) prepared in a separate work. The results are displayed in Figure 4, plotted as ln(I/I₀) (where I = observed spin–echo intensity and I₀ = intensity in vacuum) vs γ²δ²G⁻²[(δ/3)] × 10⁻⁹ (m² s⁻¹). The slopes (D) of the indicated linear fits were used to calculate r values (Table 2) as described in the text. The slopes (D) of the indicated linear fits were used to calculate r values (Table 2) as described in the text.

Figure 2. Representation of the X-ray crystal structure of 2a, with selected nonhydrogen atoms labeled and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å): Zn₁-Zn₂, 3.0835(6); Zn₁-O₁, 1.945(3); Zn₁-O₃, 2.037(2); Zn₁-O₄, 2.009(2); Zn₁-N₁, 2.214(3); Zn₁-N₂, 2.268(3); Zn₂-O₂, 1.942(2); Zn₂-O₃, 2.001(2); Zn₂-O₄, 2.041(3); Zn₂-N₃, 2.233(3); Zn₂-N₄, 2.266(3).

Figure 3. LDMS data obtained on the solids resulting from evaporation of CH₂Cl₂ solutions of (a) 2a, (b) 2b, and (c) a 1:1 mixture of 2a and 2b. Simulations of the indicated ions are shown in part d.

Figure 4. Plot of ln(I/I₀) vs γ²δ²G⁻²[(δ/3)] × 10⁻⁹ (m² s⁻¹) from PGSE experiments for 1a (▲), 2a (■), and 3 (●). The slopes (D) of the indicated linear fits were used to calculate r values (Table 2) as described in the text.
Hydrodynamic volumes may be estimated via an alternative method of measuring the lengths between centers of distant hydrogen atoms and, the absence of gradients), versus a function with the square of the gradient strength over a constant diffusion time. Each data point represents an average of the values obtained for the different resonances in the NMR spectrum of each compound at varying gradient strengths. The slopes of the lines in Figure 4 are equal to the diffusion coefficients ($D$) according to the Einstein equation (eq 2, where $\gamma$ = gyromagnetic ratio, $\delta$ = length of gradient pulse, and $\Delta$ = delay between gradient midpoints). The absolute value of the slope decreases with increasing effective hydrodynamic radii according to the Stokes–Einstein equation (eq 2, where $r$ = effective hydrodynamic radius and $\eta$ = viscosity). The experimentally determined values of $D$ and $r$ were calculated and are listed in Table 2. From these data for solutions of the three complexes analyzed, the following ranking of effective hydrodynamic volumes is given: 3 > 2a > 1a.

For comparison, we estimated values of the molecular radii ($r'$) for 1a, 2a, and 3 from their X-ray crystal structures by measuring the lengths between centers of distant hydrogen atoms on the molecular periphery. All of the complexes were considered as probe ellipsoids with major and minor axes $a$ and $b$, respectively (Table 2), and $r'$ was determined by using eq 3. Importantly, the values of $r$ (PGSE) and $r'$ (X-ray) for 1a and 3 closely agree. In contrast, for 2a, the radius determined by the PGSE measurement ($r$) is ca. 25% smaller than the radius estimated from the X-ray crystal structure ($r'$) of the dinuclear form. These data strongly suggest that 2a does not retain its dimeric form in solution.

We also calculated diffusion coefficients ($D'$) from the values of $a$, $b$, and $r'$, which required the use of a variant of eq 2 appropriate for prolate ellipsoids. As expected, the agreement between the PGSE measured ($D$) and predicted ($D'$) diffusion coefficients is excellent for 1a and 3 (consistent with the agreement of $r$ and $r'$), but $D'$ for 2a is much less than the measured value, again consistent with the form of 2a in solution being significantly smaller than the dinuclear structure adopted in the crystal. On this basis, therefore, we postulate that 2a exists predominantly as a monomer in CD$_2$Cl$_2$. As a check on this hypothesis, we estimated an upper bound for the radius expected assuming a spherical shape for the molecule. This estimated $r'$ was then used to calculate $D' = 10.0 \times 10^{-10}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ (assuming a spherical shape for the molecule).

Table 2. PGSE Experimental Results Compared to Estimated Data from X-ray Crystal Structures$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>complex</th>
<th>$D$ ($10^{-10}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$r'$ (Å)</th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$b$ (Å)</th>
<th>$r''$ (Å)</th>
<th>$D''$ ($10^{-10}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L$_2$ZnEt (1a)</td>
<td>12.49(1)</td>
<td>4.2(3)</td>
<td>5.6(4)</td>
<td>4.2(4)</td>
<td>4.6(4)</td>
<td>11.4(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[L'Al(OiPr)]$_2$ (3)</td>
<td>9.60(9)</td>
<td>5.4(3)</td>
<td>6.8(5)</td>
<td>5.5(4)</td>
<td>5.9(5)</td>
<td>9.0(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>10.55(2)</td>
<td>4.9(3)</td>
<td>9.9(7)</td>
<td>7.6(4)</td>
<td>6.8(6)</td>
<td>7.6(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Estimated errors are indicated in parentheses, with terms defined in the text. From slopes of the lines in Figure 4, eq 1, and eq 2. The errors are estimated to be ~5% primarily on the basis of the accuracy of $\eta$ used in the calculation. $^b$ Estimated values from the X-ray crystal structure by calculating the minimum ellipsoid into which the molecule fits. $^c$ Calculated using eq 3.

Table 3. Representative Results for the Polymerization of LA by 2a$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[LA]$_0$/[L$_1$ZnOEt]$_0$</th>
<th>time (min)</th>
<th>conversion (%)</th>
<th>$M_n$ (kg mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>PDI$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Conditions: CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 25°C, [LA]$_0$ = 1 M, in a glovebox. $^b$ Determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. $^c$ Determined by SEC using light scattering detection (see Experimental Section).

Polymerization Activity. While 1a does not polymerize D,L-LA, consistent with a coordination insertion mechanism requiring an initiating and propagating metal alkoxide, 2a is highly active for the polymerization of D,L-LA in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at ambient temperature (Table 3). Polymerizations were performed under strictly dry and anaerobic conditions in a glovebox with initial monomer concentrations, [LA]$_0$, of 1 M. Conversions were assayed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy, and accurate polymer molecular weights and polydispersities were determined in all cases by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using multichannel light scattering detection. We observed rapid reactions over a wide range of initial monomer-to-catalyst ratios, with a high conversion of LA possible even up to [LA]$_0$/[L$_1$ZnOEt]$_0$ ratios of 1500, yielding PLA with molecular weights as large as 130 kg mol$^{-1}$. We have found no other report of a Zn(II) complex that is effective at such low levels of catalyst loading ([L$_1$ZnOEt]$_0 \approx 0.7$ mM). Good molecular weight control is demonstrated by a linear increase in $M_n$ with LA conversion at [LA]$_0$/[L$_1$ZnOEt]$_0$ = 1000 (Figure 5) and relatively narrow molecular weight distributions of the products (polydispersity index, PDI $\approx 1.4$). Despite the linear dependence of $M_n$ with LA conversion, the polylactide molecular weights are lower than expected (dotted line in Figure 5) based on the initial concentrations of catalyst and monomer, particularly at high conversion. These features also are evident in a plot of M$_{\text{PLA}}$ versus ([LA]$_0$/[L$_1$ZnOEt]$_0$) measured over a wide range of initial monomer-to-catalyst ratios (filled circles, Figure 6). For example, at [LA]$_0$/[L$_1$ZnOEt]$_0$ = 1500 at 98.5% conversion, the PLA molecular weight should be 214 kg mol$^{-1}$ compared to the experimental value of 130 kg mol$^{-1}$.

Such divergences from anticipated molecular weights may be explained by an effective concentration of catalyst, [L$_1$-
Accordingly, since [CD] can conceivably range from 0 (no deactivating impurity) to just less than 1 mM (= [L2ZnOEt]0, thus virtually all catalyst destroyed), the range of possible values for [EA] in this analysis is 0.34 mM < [EA] < 1.34 mM.

\[
M_n = \frac{([LA]_0 - [LA]_f)(144 \text{ g mol}^{-1})}{[L_2ZnOEt]_0 + C}
\]

To further test the feasibility of rapid chain exchange during catalysis by 2a, we added various amounts of benzyl alcohol (BnOH) to polymerization reactions performed with a low concentration of catalyst ([L2ZnOEt]0 ∼ 0.7 mM, [LA]0 = 1 M). No significant changes in the rate of PLA production or the polyacrylate PDI with added BnOH were obvious, indicating that 2a retains its activity in the presence of added alcohol (even at [ROH]0/[L2ZnOEt]0 = 150, for R = Et). Importantly, added BnOH caused M0 to decrease, as shown by the data plotted as squares in Figure 6 (for these points, we used [L2ZnOEt]0 = 0.67 mM + [BnOH]0, where [BnOH]0 = 0.17–1.34 mM). As predicted for BnOH acting as a rapid EA, the data (squares) closely parallel those obtained when ([LA]0 - [LA]0)/[L2ZnOEt]0 was varied in the absence of BnOH (circles). Indeed, in an analysis analogous to that applied to Figure 5, the combined data in Figure 6 may be fit (solid curve) to eq 4, where EA is now the “intrinsie” impurity present in the absence of added BnOH. The resulting value C = 0.46(3) mM yields a range 0.46 mM < [EA] < 1.13 mM (0 < [CD] < 0.67 mM), in satisfyingly close agreement with the results from the analysis of the independently acquired data in Figure 5. In sum, the results confirm that LA polymerizations by 2a are sensitive to rapid chain exchange both by purposefully added BnOH and by an impurity EA. The amount of this EA has been estimated by considering possible limiting values of a CD, independent kinetic evidence for which is presented in the next section.

We determined that the ethoxide group of 2a initiates the polymerization of LA through acyl cleavage by performing polymerizations at high catalyst loadings. A reaction performed with [LA]0/[L2ZnOEt]0 = 50 was quenched after 10 s (95% conversion) and analyzed by 1H NMR spectroscopy. Both ethoxy ester and hydroxy end groups were visible in the spectrum in a 1:1 ratio. However, by integration there were 70 LA units per endgroup, rather than 50 as predicted from the [LA]0/[L2ZnOEt]0 ratio used. In addition, the PDI of the resulting polymer was broad (2.31). We hypothesize that the low level of ethoxy end groups and high PDI under these conditions (high catalyst loading) may be due to initiation being somewhat slower than propagation. In separate experiments using [LA]0/[L2ZnOEt]0 = 1075 and 20 equiv of added EtOH exchange reagent, the discrepancy between experimental observations and theory narrowed. At 92% conversion, 49 LA units were expected per endgroup; 46 were observed via 1H NMR integration. The combined data firmly establish that ethoxy groups initiate polymer chain growth, presumably through a coordinative insertion pathway.

Finally, 1H NMR spectroscopic analysis of PLA produced from d,L-LA indicates an atactic microstructure, even when the polymerization was performed at low temperature (0 °C).28 A


Intriguing proportionality -

1.33 (at 0 °C) While no detailed kinetic data are available for some recently reported highly temperature-dependent of kobs. The data show that dependence of kobs on [ZnOEt] (Figure 7b) and kobs is the fastest Zn-containing LA polymerization catalyst discovered.29

To determine the kinetic order in [Zn], we analyzed the dependence of kobs on [L1ZnOEt]. Plots of ln(kobs) vs ln([L1-ZnOEt]) are linear (Figure 7a), but with noninteger slopes of 1.33 (at 0 °C) and 1.75 (at 25 °C). The plots suggest the intriguing proportionality −d[LA]/dt ∝ [L1ZnOEt]n with n = 1.33 (0 °C) or 1.75 (25 °C). Such noninteger orders in catalyst are difficult to interpret mechanistically, although models that incorporate complicated aggregation phenomena have been postulated for such cases.9,10 In an alternative interpretation of the data, plots of kobs vs [L1ZnOEt] are linear, indicating a first order dependency of the rate on [L1ZnOEt] (Figure 7b) and giving the rate law −d[LA]/dt = kobs[L1ZnOEt][LA], with kobs = propagation rate constant = 2.2 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 25 °C and 0.30 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 0 °C. This rate law is consistent with a mechanism involving coordinative insertion at a single Zn site.

The x-intercepts for the linear fits shown in Figure 7b are nonzero, suggesting that there is a threshold catalyst concentration below which polymerization will not occur.3 For the kinetic runs performed at 25 °C, the threshold value is 0.7 mM, but at 0 °C it is 2.4 mM. Note that the experimental procedures differed at the two temperatures; at 25 °C polymerization was initiated by adding the catalyst stock solution to a solution of LA in the glovebox and then removing the flask from the glovebox for monitoring by ReactIR, but at 0 °C it was necessary to perform the entire procedure on the benchtop by addition of catalyst via syringe injection through a septum to a precooled LA solution immersed in an ice bath with the ReactIR probe inserted. Introduction of a trace impurity is more difficult to avoid in the latter case. The 25 °C threshold value also is essentially the same catalyst concentration used in successful batch polymerizations using [LA]₀/[L1ZnOEt]₀ = 1500 (Table 3) that were kept in the glovebox for the entire reaction time. Taken together, these results imply that there is a low level of impurity relative to [LA]₀ = 1 M (or [LA]₀ = 0.5 M at 0 °C) that deactivates the catalyst and that the amount of impurity (CD) varies with experimental protocol, suggesting that it may be introduced inadvertently. Several additional experiments were performed to test these hypotheses.

First, a kinetic experiment was run at 0 °C using syringe transfer conditions and a catalyst concentration below the threshold ([L1ZnOEt]₀ = 1.0 mM). ReactIR monitoring showed polymerization neither after ~30 min nor after warming to 25 °C and standing for an additional 30 min. These results show that slow initiation at 0 °C is not responsible for the absence of polymerization at low [L1ZnOEt]₀ in the syringe transfer kinetic runs. In another kinetic experiment run under syringe transfer conditions at 0 °C using [L1ZnOEt]₀ = 1.0 mM, monitoring for ~1.5 h again showed no PLA production, but subsequent addition of 2a to bring the total catalyst concentration to 5.0 mM (i.e., above the threshold) triggered the reaction. Polymerization proceeded with a kobs value of 9.2 × 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹ (open triangle in Figure 7b), in line with values obtained in kinetic runs that began with this amount of catalyst. This result is consistent with the hypothesis of an impurity (CD) that prohibits polymerization catalysis when [L1ZnOEt]₀ < 2.4 mM at 0 °C.

Table 4. Observed Rate Constants for LA Polymerization by 2a Relative to Selected Complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>complex</th>
<th>kobs (10⁻³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
<th>[complex]₀ (mM)</th>
<th>ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(BDI)ZnOEtPr</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2ZnCl2OEt</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpMgOEt</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpZnOEt</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Conditions: CH₂Cl₂ or CD₂Cl₂ at 25 °C. b Equal to the ratio kobs(2a)/kobs(complex) at [complex]₀ = [L1ZnOEt]. Values of kobs(2a) were calculated using kobs(2a) = kprop[L1ZnOEt]₀, with kprop = 2.2 M⁻¹ s⁻¹.

Figure 7. Kinetic plots for the polymerization of LA by 2a at 25 °C (●) and 0 °C (▲). Conditions: CH₂Cl₂, [LA]₀ = 1.0 M (25 °C) or 0.5 M (0 °C). The open triangle in part b is the data point for the experiment (0 °C) in which [L1ZnOEt]₀ was initially 1.0 mM (no polymerization) and then was increased to 5.0 mM (polymerization initiated); see text.


Working under the notion that this impurity was introduced during the syringe transfer protocol necessary for ReactIR monitoring in the 0 °C kinetic runs, we modified the procedure to see if the impurity level could be lowered sufficiently to enable polymerization below the impurity concentration threshold at 0 °C. We carefully vacuum transferred CH2Cl2 onto a solid mixture of LA (1 M) and 2a ([L1ZnOEt]0 = 2.0 mM) at −80 °C, warmed the mixture to 0 °C, and allowed the reaction to run for 2 h at 0 °C. PLA was formed to greater than 90% conversion, confirming that if appropriate care is taken, the impurity level can be reduced sufficiently to enable polymerization at low [L1ZnOEt]0 at 0 °C. Finally, SEC data for polymers from kinetic runs at both temperatures at equal [LA]0/[L1ZnOEt]0 (=500) revealed different Mn values: Mn(0 °C) = 14.7 kg mol⁻¹ versus Mn(25 °C) = 46.2 kg mol⁻¹. The finding of lower polymer molecular weight with higher levels of deactivating impurity suggests that the product of the catalyst deactivation is itself a chain exchange agent.

A candidate for the adventitious/introduced impurity is water, since addition of 1 equiv (relative to L1ZnOEt) of water completely terminated a kinetic experiment at 25 °C. In a second experiment ([LA]0/[L1ZnOEt]0 = 20), polymerization proceeded to >95% conversion upon addition of 0.5 equiv of water. A possible explanation for the effects of water on the polymerization reaction is presented below.

**Discussion**

We have characterized a new Zn(II) alkoxide 2a that exhibits good control in the polymerization of LA to yield high molecular weight PLA at rates faster than other Zn systems reported to date. Scheme 2 shows a proposed mechanism for the polymerization of LA catalyzed by 2a consistent with the combined structural, reactivity, and kinetic data. Although dimeric in the solid state, as shown by X-ray crystallography and LDMS, the results of crossover experiments with analogue 2b and, most convincingly, the PGSE data indicate that in CH2Cl2 solution at ambient temperature 2a exists predominantly as a monomer. Since the LA polymerizations were performed under the same conditions (25 °C, CH2Cl2), we thus conclude that the resting and, most likely, the catalytically active form of 2a is the monomeric species L1ZnOR.

This conclusion is further supported by the results of the kinetics experiments, from which we deduce an overall second order rate law, with first order dependencies on [LA] and on [L1ZnOR] but with the presence of a low level (0.07−0.48% based on [LA]0) of impurity that prohibits polymerization below a threshold catalyst concentration. In addition to being indicated by the nonzero intercepts in plots of kobs versus [L1ZnOEt]0 (Figure 7b), the presence of such an impurity was supported by several independent experiments, perhaps the most critical being commencement of polymerization upon addition of catalyst to a nonactive reaction using [L1ZnOEt]0 below the threshold value. Similar levels of deactivating impurity also have been observed for several other reported LA polymerization catalysts. With 2a, when special care was taken to decrease the impurity level below the threshold found in the kinetic experiments, use of high [LA]0/[L1ZnOEt]0 ratios led successfully to very high molecular weight PLA (up to 130 kg mol⁻¹). An alternative analysis of the rate data using plots of ln(kobs) versus ln([L1ZnOEt]0) yielded nonintegral orders in catalyst (Figure 7a), similar to previous reports that invoked aggregation phenomena as a rationale. On the basis of the combined experimental data, we deem this interpretation less likely to be correct in our system. Indeed, we caution against only evaluating order in catalyst using the logarithmic analysis because the possibility of a deactivating impurity is effectively obscured if the nonintegral order is accepted without further scrutiny.

The coordination—insertion pathway shown in Scheme 2 is consistent with the second order rate law and with the analysis of polymer end groups. Additional chain exchange processes also are illustrated, which are postulated in order to explain the lower than predicted polymer molecular weights that we measured accurately using SEC with light scattering detection. Fits to the data in the plots of Mn versus % conversion and ([LA]0 − [LA]t)/[L1ZnOEt]0 (Figures 5 and 6) enabled [L1ZnOEt]eff to be determined, but in the absence of definitive values for deactivating impurity concentration ([CD]), only a possible range for the concentration of the exchange agent (IEA) could be calculated: ~0.4 mM < [IEA] < ~1.3 mM. Although relatively low, these concentrations are significant at low catalyst loadings (high [LA]0/[L1ZnOEt]0) and can considerably decrease the observed polymer molecular weight. In further support of the feasibility of chain exchange processes, we found that added BnOH lowered the polymer molecular weight without affecting the polymerization rate or polydisper-
sity. These results are consistent with BnOH being an effective chain exchange reagent and with exchange being more rapid than monomer enchainment.26

The observed effects of CD and EA on the polymerization kinetics and polymer molecular weights may be rationalized by invoking water as an adventitious impurity that reacts with 2a according to eq 5, assuming that L2/ZnOH (or an oligomerized form) is capable of rapid chain exchange but is inactive for chain propagation. According to this hypothesis, substoichiometric water decreases the amount of active catalyst (L2/ZnOR) yet produces twice the amount of chain exchange reagents (L2/ZnOH and EtOH) compared to the amount of catalyst deactivated. An alternative scenario suggested by a reviewer may also be envisioned wherein water acts to hydrolyze LA to lactic acid, which exchanges with the more basic phenol ligand to yield an inactive carboxylate/alkoxide species. Decomposition of this species would liberate 2 equiv of chain exchange agent for each catalyst molecule deactivated. We recognize that although eq 5 or the above alternative adequately explains the experimental results, we lack conclusive data with which to unambiguously identify the nature of the trace reagents CD and EA.

2L2ZnOEt + H2O → L2ZnOEt + L2ZnOH + EtOH (5)

The remarkably rapid rate of LA polymerization by 2a is worthy of note. Comparisons of kobs values at identical catalyst concentrations were used to rank 2a within the set of most efficient LA polymerization catalysts for which kinetic data are available (Table 4), placing 2a faster than other Zn(II)-containing catalysts. More insight is possible through comparison of propagation rate constants measured under identical conditions for reactions with identical rate laws. This is possible for 2a and its dinuclear counterpart LZn2(Cl)2OEt,4 for which kprop (0.37 M−1 s−1) is almost 6 times smaller at 25 °C in CH2Cl2. We speculate that the possible rate enhancing effect of the nearby Zn(II) in the dinuclear catalyst is inhibited by the chloride ligands, which yield metal sites with decreased Lewis acidity and a higher coordination number (CN = 4, not including the alkoxide) than those in “L2Zn” (CN = 3). The ring opening polymerization activity of (β-diketiminato)Zn(II)-alkoxide catalysts has also been observed to correlate with the tendency to dimerize; bulkier ligands that favor the lower coordination number mononmeric form induce greater polymerization activity.31 Finally, we note that the very fast rate of LA polymerization by 2a is a potentially quite useful characteristic, as it indicates a degree of kinetic lability that should enable ring opening polymerization of less reactive (i.e., low ring strain) monomers at low temperatures to generate new types of useful materials (e.g., perfectly alternating copolymers of hydroxy acids and epoxides).32

Experimental Section

General Methods and Materials. All reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere, using either standard Schlenk techniques or a glovebox. All solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used as received unless otherwise stated. Pentane, dichloromethane, and toluene were passed through purification columns (Glass Contour, Laguna, CA). Deuterated solvents were dried over calcium hydride, distilled under vacuum, and stored under nitrogen. Ethan on and benzyl alcohol were distilled from calcium hydride. Diethyl zinc (1 M in hexanes) was distilled immediately prior to use. oLactide and l-lactide were purified by recrystallization from toluene, followed by repeated (2–3×) vacuum sublimation. NMR Spectra were collected on a Varian INOVA-300 or Varian INOVA-500 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were determined by Atlantic Microlab, Inc., Norcross, GA and Roberson Microlit Laboratories, Inc., Madison, NJ. The molecular weights and molecular weight distributions of the high molecular weight polymers were determined by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) in THF. Separation was achieved by three Phenomenex Phenogel columns with pore sizes of 104, 105, and 106 Å. An interferometric refractometer (Wyatt Technology OPTILAB) and a multilange light scattering detector equipped with a 632.8 nm laser (Wyatt Technology DAWN) positioned downstream of the columns enabled the determination of polymer molecular weights based on a dn/dc value of 0.0576 mL g−1. High resolution electrospray mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker Reflex III system. Direct laser desorption mass spectrometry was performed using a Bruker Reflex III MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA). Samples were spotted onto a stainless steel target in a glovebox and transported to the instrument in a sealed container. The plate was quickly taken from the container and inserted into the vacuum lock of the instrument. Exposure to air in each case was <10 s. The laser employed was a pulsed nitrogen laser operating at 337 nm with a maximum average power of 6 mW. Typically, the laser power was attenuated by 10–20 dB in order to obtain satisfactory spectra for the samples analyzed. The instrument was operated in reflectron mode using delayed extraction techniques to improve resolution. The 1H PGSE experiments were performed on a Varian INOVA 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a triple axis gradient unit and a BioSelect HCN triple axis probe with actively shielded gradients. Samples were prepared by dissolving 5 mg of 1a, 2a, or 3 in 0.4 mL of CDCl3, and data were collected at 298 K without spinning of the sample. A published pulse sequence was used to suppress convection artifacts31 with a gradient length of 4 ms and a diffusion time of 30 s. The data were analyzed as described in the text.

2,4-Di-tert-butyl-6-[(2-dimethylaminomethyl)methylamino]methyl]-phenol (HL1). N,N,N′-Trimethylhexenediamine (7.90 g, 75.0 mmol), para-formaldehyde (3.08 g, 97.5 mmol), and 2,4-di-tert-butylphenol (15.63 g, 75.0 mmol) were dissolved in ethanol (50 mL). The solution was heated at reflux for 14 h under nitrogen and then cooled to room temperature. Hydrobromic acid (12.73 mL, 112.5 mmol, 48% solution) was then added, and the liquid solution began to gel. This gel was dried thoroughly under vacuum to yield a solid, which was washed with ethanol to remove all of the yellow color. The white solid was dissolved in water (300 mL), neutralized with NaHCO3, and extracted into CHCl3 (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give a colorless oil (18.26 g, 56.97% yield).28 1H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz) δ 7.21 (1H, d, JHH = 2.7 Hz, ArH), 6.83 (1H, d, JHH = 2.4 Hz, ArH), 3.69 (2H, s, NCH2Ar), 2.54 (4H, m, NCH2CH2N), 2.33 (3H, s, NCH3), 2.23 (6H, s, N(CH3)b), 1.42 (9H, s, C(CH3)c), 1.29 (9H, s, C(CH3)c) ppm. 13C NMR (CDCl3, 75 MHz) δ 154.26, 140.15, 135.36, 123.33, 122.69, 121.28, 62.03, 57.00, 54.21, 45.68, 41.80, 34.78, 34.03, 31.67, 29.55 ppm. Anal. Calc for C30H32N2O: C, 74.95%; H, 11.32%; N, 8.74%. Found: C, 74.25%; H, 11.07%; N, 8.44%.

2-tert-Butyl-4-methyl-6-[(2-dimethylaminomethyl)methyl]-phenol (HL2). N,N,N′-Trimethylhexenediamine (3.10 g, 30.5 mmol), para-formaldehyde (1.20 g, 39.5 mmol), and 2-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (5.00 g, 30.5 mmol) were dissolved in ethanol (25 mL).

The solution was heated at reflux for 14 h under nitrogen and cooled to room temperature. Hydrobromic acid (5.00 mL, 45.8 mmol, 48% solution) was then added, and the liquid solution began to gel. This gel was dried thoroughly under vacuum to yield a solid, which was washed with ethanol to remove all of the yellow color. The white solid was dissolved in water (300 mL) and extracted with CHCl₃ (3 × 100 mL). The aqueous layer was neutralized with NaHCO₃ and further extracted into CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give a colorless oil (7.50 g, 23.2 mmol, 76%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 6.95 (1H, s, ArH), 6.62 (1H, s, ArH), 3.62 (2H, s, NCH₂Ar), 2.51 (4H, m, NCH₂CH₂N₂), 2.30 (3H, s, NCH₂), 2.21 (3H, s, NCH₂), 2.11 (3H, s, NCH₂), 1.38 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃) ppm; ¹³C (¹H) NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 154.43, 136.01, 127.19, 126.42, 124.62, 122.08, 61.51, 56.96, 54.10, 53.35, 45.54, 41.60, 34.48, 29.52, 20.72 ppm. HRMS calcd for C₁₉H₃₄N₂O₂Zn: M⁺ + 1 279.2358, found 279.2409.

**L₂ZnEt (1a).** HL₂ (2.00 g, 6.24 mmol) was dissolved in pentane (5 mL), and the solution was cooled to −35 °C. Diethyl zinc (6.24 mL of a 1 M solution in hexane, 6.24 mmol) was added to this solution slowly, and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with cold pentane (2 × 10 mL), and dried in vacuo (1.64 g, 3.96 mmol, 63%). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were grown from pentane (−35 °C).

¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 MHz) δ 7.16 (1H, d, ²Jₖ = 2.7 Hz, ArH), 6.78 (1H, d, ²Jₖ = 2.4 Hz, ArH), 3.66 (1H, d, ²Jₖ = 12 Hz, NCH₂C), 3.43 (1H, d, ²Jₖ = 12 Hz, NCH₂C), 2.62 (4H, m, NCH₂CH₂N₂), 2.50 (3H, s, NCH₂), 2.37 (3H, s, NCH₂), 2.08 (3H, s, NCH₂), 1.41 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃), 1.25 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃), 1.22 (3H, t, ³Jₖ = 7.1 Hz, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C (¹H) NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 165.06, 137.80, 134.93, 126.27, 124.36, 122.74, 62.56, 57.96, 52.98, 47.86, 46.10, 45.97, 35.69, 34.24, 32.20, 30.05, 13.64, 4.00 ppm. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₄N₂O₂Zn: C, 61.46%; H, 9.38%; N, 6.52%. Found C, 61.58%; H, 9.32%; N, 7.91%.

Typical Polymerization Procedure. All glassware used for polymerizations was oven dried, treated with a 1.0 M solution of Me₂SiCl₂ in CH₂Cl₂, and dried for a minimum of an additional 3 h at 200 °C. The solvent, CH₂Cl₂, was passed through a plug of alumina immediately prior to use. A stock solution of catalyst, 2a, was prepared such that [L₂ZnOEt]₀ = 0.0023 M in CH₂Cl₂ and was stored under nitrogen at −35 °C. A representative procedure is given below. In the glovebox, a glass vial was charged with LA (0.180 g, 1.25 mmol, 1500 equiv) and CH₂Cl₂ (1.21 mL). A volume (0.036 mL, 8.32 × 10⁻⁴ mmol, 1 equiv) of the catalyst stock solution was injected into the monomer solution and stirred at room temperature. After stirring for an appropriate time, an aliquot was withdrawn from the reaction and the product polymer was precipitated by adding excess (2 mL) pentane, followed by removal of the solvents in vacuo at RT.

**Kinetics.** Data for the polymerization of LA by 2a were collected by an in situ monitoring of the changes in IR spectra using an ASI Applied Systems ReactIR 4000 spectrometer. For the 25 °C runs, a reaction flask was charged with LA and a solution of 2a in CH₂Cl₂ in the glovebox (the total volume varied, but the average volume was approximately 3 mL). The ReactIR probe was then attached to the flask via a ground glass joint, and the apparatus was removed from the glovebox and attached to the IR spectrometer so that the reaction flask was immersed in a temperature controlled bath at 25 °C. For the 0 °C runs, catalyst was not added in the glovebox, and the apparatus was subsequently immersed in an ice–water bath. The solution temperature was allowed to equilibrate over 5 min, and 2a (as a CH₂Cl₂ stock solution prepared in the glovebox) was injected through a septum via syringe. Peaks due to LA at 1345, 1240, and 930 cm⁻¹ and peaks due to PLA at 1184, 1147, 1131, and 863 cm⁻¹ were monitored; analysis of LA decay and PLA growth gave very similar results. The observed rate constants (kobs) were extracted by fitting an exponential curve to the plot of absorbance versus time using \( A(t) = (A₀ − Aₙ)e^{−kt} + Aₙ \), allowing \( A₀, Aₙ, \) and \( k \) to vary freely and taking the average value from the seven peaks monitored. The data were analyzed over at least four half-lives. All linear and nonlinear curve fits were performed with KaleidaGraph.

**X-ray Crystallography.** Single crystals of 1a and 2a were attaching to glass fibers and mounted on a Siemens or Bruker SMART system for data collection at 173(2) K. An initial set of cell constants was calculated from three sets of 20 frames. These initial sets of frames were oriented such that orthogonal wedges of reciprocal space were surveyed; orientation matrices were calculated from 90 to 150 reflections. The data collection was performed using Mo Kα radiation with a frame time of 20 and 45 s, respectively, and a detector distance of 4.9 cm. A randomly oriented region of reciprocal space was surveyed to the extent of 1.5 hemispheres and to a resolution of 0.84 Å. Three different types of frames were collected with 0.30 Å resolution. Three different sections of frames were collected with 0.30 Å resolution.

(1L₂ZnOEt₂ (2b). Complex 1b (0.50 g, 1.34 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (10 mL) and cooled to −35 °C. Ethanol (0.14 mL, 2.42 mmol) was added to this solution slowly. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature and then filtered through Celite. The filtrate was dried in vacuo to give a white solid (0.47 g, 1.21 mmol, 90%). This compound was recrystallized from toluene and pentane at −35 °C prior to use in LDMS and NMR crossover experiments. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 6.96 (1H, d, ²Jₖ = 4.0 Hz, ArH), 6.93 (1H, d, ²Jₖ = 4.0 Hz, ArH), 6.61 (1H, d, ²Jₖ = 3.0 Hz, ArH), 3.88 (2H, q, ²Jₖ = 12.0 Hz, OCH₂CH₂), 3.77 (1H, s, NCH₂Ar), 3.37 (1H, d, ²Jₖ = 20.0 Hz, NCH₂Ar), 2.77 (4H, m, NCH₂CH₂N₂), 2.59 (3H, s, NCH₂), 2.22 (3H, s, CH₂Ar), 2.17 (6H, s, N(CH₂)₂), 1.40 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃), 1.21 (3H, t, ³Jₖ = 11.5 Hz, OCH₂CH₂) ppm; ¹³C (¹H) NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 164.09, 138.57, 130.01, 128.09, 121.28, 65.18, 57.07, 46.92, 45.18, 44.05, 35.41, 35.24, 29.99, 20.86 ppm. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₄N₂O₂Zn: C, 58.81%; H, 8.83%; N, 7.25%. Found C, 58.61%; H, 8.97%; N, 7.91%.
constants were calculated from the \(xyz\) centroids of strong reflections from the actual data collection after integration (SAINT).\(^{35}\) The structures were solved by direct methods using SIR92\(^{36}\) and SIR97,\(^{37}\) which located most of the non-hydrogen atoms from the E-map. Full-matrix least squares/difference Fourier cycles were performed using SHELXL-97.\(^{38}\) Space groups were determined based on systematic absences and intensity statistics. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms with relative isotropic displacement parameters. For \(2a\), solvent molecules (toluene, pentane) were found in channels throughout the crystal and could not be individually modeled. To obtain a better model, the solvent molecules were removed using program PLATON, function SQUEEZE.\(^{36}\) Full crystallographic information for each complex is available in its CIF.
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